MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Criminal Chief 3 Filed 4 MICHELLE J. KANE (CABN 210579) Assistant United States Attorney 5 MAY 2 2 2012 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S Oakland, California 94612 6 Tel: (510) 637-6380 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Fax: (510 637-3724 7 E-Mail: michelle.kane3@usdoj.gov SAN JOSE 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 SAN JOSE DIVISION 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR 10-00731 LHK AMENDED 14 STIPULATION AND PROPOSEDI Plaintiff, 15 ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND DOCUMENTING 16 EXCLUSION OF TIME. HIEU KHAC NGUYEN, 17 Defendant. 18 The defendant, Hieu Khac Nguyen, and the government together respectfully stipulate as 19 20 follows: 21 1. A change of plea or trial setting conference is currently scheduled in this matter on May 22 23, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.; 23 2. The parties hereby advise the Court that the parties are continuing to confer over the 24 disposition of the matter. Additional facts have come to light during that discussion that 25 required additional investigation by both sides and resulted in additional discovery 26 produced by the government; 27 3. Counsel for the government will be unavailable May 30, or June 6, 2012. 28 Accordingly, the parties request that the Court set the matter for change of plea June 13, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1	2012, to allow defense counsel to finish the necessary investigation. The parties jointly request
2	that the Court exclude the period of time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, under the
3	Speedy Trial Act calculation for continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel,
4	taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
6	
7	Dated: May 22, 2012 /s/ WENDY KIM
8	Counsel for Defendant
9	
10	Dated: May 22, 2012 MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
11	Officed States Attorney
12	/s/
13	MICHELLE J. KANE Assistant United States Attorney
14	Assistant Officed States Attorney
15	
	Λ ΜΕΝ <i>ΝΟ</i> Ο
16	AMENDED ORDER
16 17	AMENDED ORDER Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that
	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny
17	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that
17 18	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny and exempted.
17 18 19	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for
17 18 19 20	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
17 18 19 20 21	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
17 18 19 20 21 22	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, shall be excluded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between May 23, 2012, and June 13, 2012, shall be excluded

1	from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) and the
2	matter is set for change of plea June 13, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	D with
5	Dated: 5/22, 2012
6	United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
~~	A .